GRETZKY’S LAST GAME

HERE ARE SEVERAL WAYS YOU CAN GET tickets for a sporting event. You might have a season pass that gives you a seat at every home game, you could buy a ticket for a single game from the box office, or you could buy a ticket from a scalper. Scalpers buy tickets in advance—either from the box office or from season ticket holders who decide to forgo the game—and then resell them shortly before the event.

Scalping is not always legal, but it is often profitable. A scalper might buy tickets at the box office and then, after the box office has sold out, resell them at a higher price to fans who have decided at the last minute to attend the event. Of course, the profits are not guaranteed. Sometimes an event is unexpectedly “hot” and scalped tickets can be sold for high prices, but sometimes an event is unexpectedly “cold” and scalpers end up selling at a loss. Over time, however, even with some unlucky nights, scalpers can make money from eager fans.

Ticket scalpers in the city of Ottawa had a good few days in April 1999. Why? Because Wayne Gretzky, the Canadian hockey star, unexpectedly announced that he would retire from the sport and that the April 15 match between the Ottawa Senators and his team, the New York Rangers, would be his last game on Canadian soil. Many Canadian fans wanted to see the great Gretzky play one last time—and would not give up just because the box office had long since sold out.

Clearly, scalpers who had already stocked up on tickets—or who could acquire more tickets—were in for a bonanza. After the announcement, scalped tickets began selling for four or five times their face value. It was just a matter of supply and demand.

Fans paid hundreds, even thousands, of dollars to see Wayne Gretzky and Michael Jordan play their last games. How much would you pay to see a music star, such as Shania Twain, one last time? What about your favorite athlete?
Supply And Demand: A Model Of A Competitive Market

Ticket scalpers and their customers constitute a market—a group of sellers and buyers. More than that, they constitute a particular type of market, known as a competitive market. Roughly, a competitive market is a market in which there are many buyers and sellers of the same good or service. More precisely, the key feature of a competitive market is that no individual’s actions have a noticeable effect on the price at which the good or service is sold.

It’s a little hard to explain why competitive markets are different from other markets until we’ve seen how a competitive market works. So let’s take a rain check—we’ll return to that issue at the end of this chapter. For now, let’s just say that it’s easier to model competitive markets than other markets. When taking an exam, it’s always a good strategy to begin by answering the easier questions. In this book we’re going to do the same thing. So we will start with competitive markets.

When a market is competitive, its behaviour is well described by a model known as the supply and demand model. And because many markets are competitive, the supply and demand model is a very useful one indeed.

There are five key elements in this model:

- The demand curve
- The supply curve
- The set of factors that cause the demand curve to shift, and the set of factors that cause the supply curve to shift
- The equilibrium price
- The way the equilibrium price changes when the supply and demand curves shift

To understand the supply and demand model, we will examine each of these elements.

The Demand Curve

How many people wanted to buy scalped tickets to see the New York Rangers and the Ottawa Senators play that April night? You might at first think the answer was: every hockey fan in Ontario who didn’t already have a ticket. But although every hockey fan wanted to see Wayne Gretzky play one last time, most fans weren’t willing to pay four or five times the normal ticket price. In general, the number of people who want to buy a hockey ticket, or any other good, depends on the price. The higher the price, the fewer people who want to buy the good; the lower the price, the more people who want to buy the good.

So the answer to the question “How many people will want to buy a ticket to Gretzky’s last game?” depends on the price of a ticket. If you don’t yet know what the price will be, you can start by making a table of how many people would want to buy...
at a number of different prices. Such a table is known as a demand schedule. This, in turn, can be used to draw a demand curve, which is one of the key elements of the supply and demand model.

The Demand Schedule and the Demand Curve

A demand schedule is a table showing how much of a good or service consumers will want to buy at different prices. At the right of Figure 3-1, we show a hypothetical demand schedule for tickets to a hockey game.

According to the table, if scalped tickets are available at $100 each (roughly their face value), 20,000 people will be willing to buy them; at $150 some fans will decide that this price is too high, and only 15,000 are willing to buy. At $200, even fewer people want tickets, and so on. So the higher the price, the fewer tickets people want to purchase. In other words, as the price rises, the quantity of tickets demanded falls.

The graph in Figure 3-1 is a visual representation of the information in the table. (You might want to review the discussion of graphs in economics in the appendix to Chapter 2.) The vertical axis shows the price of a ticket, and the horizontal axis shows the quantity of tickets. Each point on the graph corresponds to one of the entries in the table. The curve that connects these points is a demand curve. A demand curve is a graphical representation of the demand schedule, another way of showing how much of a good or service consumers want to buy at any given price.

Suppose that scalpers are charging $250 per ticket. We can see from Figure 3-1 that 8,000 fans are willing to pay that price; that is, 8,000 is the quantity demanded at a price of $250.
Note that the demand curve shown in Figure 3-1 slopes downwards. This reflects the general proposition that a higher price reduces the number of people willing to buy a good. In this case, many people who would have laid out $100 to see the great Gretzky aren’t willing to pay $350. In the real world, demand curves almost always, with some very specific exceptions, do slope downwards. The exceptions are goods called “Giffen goods”, but economists think these are so rare that for practical purposes we can ignore them. Generally, the proposition that a higher price for a good, other things equal, leads people to demand a smaller quantity of that good is so reliable that economists are willing to call it a “law”—the law of demand.

Shifts of the Demand Curve

When Gretzky’s retirement was announced, the immediate effect was that more people were willing to buy tickets for that April 15 game at any given price. That is, at every price the quantity demanded rose as a consequence of the announcement. Figure 3-2 illustrates this phenomenon in terms of the demand schedule and the demand curve for scalped tickets.

The table in Figure 3-2 shows two demand schedules. The second one shows the demand schedule after the announcement, the same one shown in Figure 3-1. But the first demand schedule shows the demand for scalped tickets before Gretzky announced his retirement. As you can see, after the announcement the number of people willing to pay $350 for a ticket increased, the number of people willing to pay $300 increased, and so on. So at each price, the second schedule—the schedule after the announcement—shows a larger quantity demanded. For example, at $200, the quantity of tickets fans were willing to buy increased from 5,500 to 11,000.

The law of demand says that a higher price for a good, other things equal, leads people to demand a smaller quantity of the good.
A shift of the demand curve is a change in the quantity demanded at any given price, represented by the change of the original demand curve to a new position, denoted by a new demand curve. A movement along the demand curve is a change in the quantity demanded of a good that is the result of a change in that good’s price.

The announcement of Gretzky’s retirement generated a new demand schedule, one in which the quantity demanded is greater at any given price than in the original demand schedule. The two curves in Figure 3-2 show the same information graphically. As you can see, the new demand schedule after the announcement corresponds to a new demand curve, $D_2$, that is to the right of the demand curve before the announcement, $D_1$. This shift of the demand curve shows the change in the quantity demanded at any given price, represented by the change in position of the original demand curve $D_1$ to its new location at $D_2$.

It’s crucial to make the distinction between such shifts of the demand curve and movements along the demand curve, changes in the quantity demanded of a good that result from a change in that good’s price. Figure 3-3 illustrates the difference.

The movement from point A to point B is a movement along the demand curve: the quantity demanded rises due to a fall in price as you move down $D_1$. Here, a fall in price from $350 to $215 generates a rise in the quantity demanded from 2,500 to 5,000 tickets. But the quantity demanded can also rise when the price is unchanged if there is an increase in demand—a rightward shift of the demand curve. This is illustrated in Figure 3-3 by the shift of the demand curve $D_1$ to $D_2$. Holding price constant at $350, the quantity demanded increases from 2,500 tickets at point A on $D_1$ to 5,000 tickets at point C on $D_2$.

When economists say “the demand for X increased” or “the demand for Y decreased”, they mean that the demand curve for X or Y shifted—not that the quantity demanded rose or fell because of a change in the price.

Understanding Shifts of the Demand Curve

Figure 3-4 illustrates the two basic ways in which demand curves can shift. When economists talk about an “increase in demand”, they mean a rightward shift of the demand curve: at any given price, consumers demand a larger quantity of the good than before. This is shown in Figure 3-4 by the rightward shift of the original demand curve $D_1$ to $D_2$. And when economists talk about a “decrease in demand”, they mean a leftward shift of the demand curve: at any given price, consumers demand a smaller quantity of the good than before. This is shown in Figure 3-4 by the leftward shift of the original demand curve $D_1$ to $D_3$. 
But what causes a demand curve to shift? In our example, the event that shifted the demand curve for tickets is the announcement of Gretzky's imminent retirement. But if you think about it, you could come up with other things that are likely to shift the demand curve for those tickets. For example, suppose there is a music concert the same evening as the hockey game, and the band announces that it will sell tickets at half-price. This is likely to cause a decrease in demand for hockey tickets: hockey fans who also like music will prefer to purchase half-price concert tickets rather than hockey game tickets.

Economists believe that there are five principal factors that shift the demand curve for a good:

■ Changes in the prices of related goods
■ Changes in income
■ Changes in tastes
■ Changes in population
■ Changes in expectations

Although this is not an exhaustive list, it contains the five most important factors that can shift demand curves. When we said before that the quantity of a good demanded falls as its price rises, *other things equal*, we were referring to the factors that shift demand as remaining unchanged.

**Changes in the Prices of Related Goods** If you want to have a good night out but are not too particular about what you do, a music concert is an alternative to the hockey game—it is what economists call a *substitute* for the hockey game. A pair of goods are *substitutes* if a fall in the price of one good (music concerts) makes consumers less willing to consume the other good (hockey games). Substitutes are usually goods that in some way serve a similar function: concerts and hockey games, muffins and donuts, trains and buses. A fall in the price of the alternative good induces some consumers to purchase it *instead of* the original good, shifting the demand for the original good to the left.

But sometimes a fall in the price of one good makes consumers *more* willing to consume another good. Such pairs of goods are known as *complements*. Complements are usually goods that in some sense are consumed together: sports tickets and parking at
the stadium garage, hamburgers and buns, cars and gasoline. If the garage next to the hockey arena offered free parking, more people would be willing to buy tickets to see the game at any given price because the cost of the “package”—game plus parking—would have fallen. When the price of a complement falls, the quantity of the original good demanded at any given price rises; so the demand curve shifts to the right.

**Changes in Income** When individuals have more income, they are normally more likely to purchase a good at any given price. For example, if a family’s income rises it is more likely to take that summer trip to Disney World—and therefore also more likely to buy plane tickets. So a rise in consumer incomes will cause the demand curves for most goods to shift to the right.

Why do we say “most goods”, not “all goods”? Most goods are normal goods—the demand for them increases when consumer income rises. However, the demand for some products falls when incomes rise—people with high incomes are less likely to take buses than people with lower incomes. Goods for which the demand decreases when income rises are known as inferior goods. When a good is inferior, a rise in income shifts the demand curve to the left.

An important aspect of income is its distribution. Because people have different tastes, changes in the distribution of income between individuals can lead to shifts in demand between goods. For example, a redistribution of income from the rich to the poor (with total income unchanged) could lead to an increase in demand for small or second-hand cars and a decrease in demand for new luxury automobiles.

**Changes in Tastes** Why do people want what they want? Fortunately, we don’t need to answer that question—we just need to acknowledge that people have certain preferences, or tastes, that determine what they choose to consume and that these tastes can change. Economists usually lump together changes in demand due to fads, beliefs, cultural shifts, and so on under the heading of changes in tastes or preferences.

For example, once upon a time men routinely wore undershirts (or vests). But then came a dramatic moment—American actor Clark Gable removed his shirt in Frank Capra’s classic film *It Happened One Night* (1934)—revealing bare skin rather than an undershirt! Reportedly, the sales of vests immediately plummeted. Fashion had changed overnight, and the demand for men’s undershirts never recovered.

The main distinguishing feature of changes in tastes is that economists have little to say about them, and usually take them as given. When tastes change in favour of a good, more people want to buy the good at any given price, so the demand curve shifts to the right. When tastes change against a good, fewer people want to buy it at any given price, so the demand curve shifts to the left.

**Changes in Population** An increase in the population would not necessarily create new demand. Sure, it may create new needs, but for needs to be translated into demands those needs must be backed up with purchasing power. If we assume any additional population has the same average income as the existing population, we would expect the demand for all goods and services to increase.

An important aspect of population is its demographic breakdown, or age distribution. For example, as a result of the “baby boom”, almost one-third of the Canadian population was in their teens or early 20s during the early 1970s. This created a boom for the products enjoyed by that age group—Volkswagen vans, guitars, and tie-dyed T-shirts. By 2020 this generation will be turning 70, and their sheer numbers will shift up the demand for nursing homes and health care services.

**Changes in Expectations** You could say that the increase in demand for tickets to the April 15 hockey game was the result of a change in expectations: fans no longer expected to have future opportunities to see Gretzky in action, so they became more eager to see him while they could.

Depending on the specifics of the case, changes in expectations can either decrease or increase the demand for a good. For example, savvy shoppers often wait for seasonal
sales—say, buying holiday gifts during the post-holiday markdowns. In this case, expectations of a future drop in price lead to a decrease in demand today. Alternatively, expectations of a future rise in price are likely to cause an increase in demand today.

Expected changes in future income can also lead to changes in demand: if you expect your income to rise in the future, you will typically borrow today and increase your demand for certain goods; and if you expect your income to fall in the future, you are likely to save today and reduce your demand for some goods.

economics in action

Beating the Traffic

All big cities like Toronto, Montreal, or Vancouver have traffic problems, and many local authorities try to discourage driving in the crowded city centre. If we think of an auto trip to the city centre as a good that people consume, we can use the economics of demand to analyze anti-traffic policies.

One common strategy of municipal governments is to reduce the demand for auto trips by lowering the prices of substitutes. For example, most Canadian municipalities subsidize bus and rail service, hoping to lure commuters out of their cars.

An alternative strategy is raising the price of complements: several major U.S. cities impose high taxes on commercial parking garages, both to raise revenue and to discourage people from driving into the city. In Canadian cities, the dominant tactic seems to be short time limits on parking meters, combined with vigilant parking enforcement.

However, few cities have been willing to adopt the politically controversial direct approach: reducing congestion by raising the price of driving. So it was a shock when, in 2003, London, England, imposed a “congestion charge” of £5 (about $12) on all cars entering the city centre during business hours.

Compliance with the charge is monitored using automatic cameras that photograph license plates. People can either pay the charge in advance or pay it by midnight of the day they have driven. Those who don’t pay and are caught are fined £80 (about $176) for each transgression. (A full description of the rules can be found at www.cclondon.com.)

Not surprisingly, the result of the new policy confirms the law of demand: according to an August 2003 news report, traffic into central London had fallen 32 percent and cars were traveling more than a third faster as a result of the congestion charge.

CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING 3-1

1. Explain whether each of the following events represents (i) a shift of the demand curve or (ii) a movement along the demand curve.
   a. A store owner finds that customers are willing to pay more for umbrellas on rainy days.
   b. When XYZ Telecom, a long-distance telephone service provider, offered reduced rates on weekends, the volume of weekend calling increased sharply.
   c. People buy more long-stem roses the week of Valentine’s Day, even though the prices are higher than at other times of the year.
   d. The sharp rise in the price of gasoline leads many commuters to join car pools in order to reduce their gasoline purchase.

The Supply Curve

Ticket scalpers have to acquire the tickets they sell, and many of them do so from ticket-holders who decide to sell. The decision of whether to sell your own ticket to a scalper depends in part on the price offered: the higher the price offered, the more likely that you will be willing to sell.
So just as the quantity of tickets that people are willing to buy depends on the price they have to pay, the quantity that people are willing to sell—the quantity supplied—depends on the price they are offered. (Notice that this is the supply of tickets to the market in scalped tickets. The number of seats in the stadium is whatever it is, regardless of the price—but that’s not the quantity we’re concerned with here.)

The Supply Schedule and the Supply Curve

The table in Figure 3-5 on page 64 shows how the quantity of tickets made available varies with the price—that is, it shows a hypothetical supply schedule for tickets to Gretzky’s last game.

A supply schedule works the same way as the demand schedule shown in Figure 3-1: in this case, the table shows the quantity of tickets season subscribers are willing to sell at different prices. At a price of $100, only 2,000 people are willing to part with their tickets. At $150, some more people decide that it is worth passing up the game in order to have more money for something else, increasing the quantity of tickets available to 5,000. At $200 the quantity of tickets supplied rises to 7,000, and so on.

In the same way that a demand schedule can be represented graphically by a demand curve, a supply schedule can be represented by a supply curve, as shown in Figure 3-5. Each point on the curve represents an entry from the table.

Suppose that the price scalpers offer rises from $200 to $250; we can see from Figure 3-5 that the quantity of tickets sold to them rises from 7,000 to 8,000. This is the normal situation for a supply curve, reflecting the general proposition that a higher price leads to a higher quantity supplied. So just as demand curves normally slope downwards, supply curves normally slope upwards: the higher the price being offered, the more hockey tickets people will be willing to part with—the more of any good they will be willing to sell.
Shifts of the Supply Curve

When Gretzky's retirement was announced, the immediate effect was that people who already had tickets for the April 15 game became less willing to sell those tickets to scalpers at any given price. So the quantity of tickets supplied at any given price fell: the number of tickets people were willing to sell at $350 per ticket fell, the number they were willing to sell at $300 fell, and so on. Figure 3-6 shows us how to illustrate this event in terms of the supply schedule and the supply curve for tickets.

The table in Figure 3-6 shows two supply schedules; the schedule after the announcement is the same one as in Figure 3-5. The first supply schedule shows the supply of scalped tickets before Gretzky announced his retirement. And just as a change in demand schedules leads to a shift of the demand curve, a change in supply schedules leads to a shift of the supply curve—a change in the quantity supplied at any given price. This is shown in Figure 3-6 by the shift of the supply curve before the announcement, $S_1$, to its new position after the announcement $S_2$. Notice that $S_2$ lies to the left of $S_1$, a reflection of the fact that quantity supplied decreased at any given price in the aftermath of Gretzky’s announcement.

As in the analysis of demand, it’s crucial to draw a distinction between such shifts of the supply curve and movements along the supply curve—changes in the quantity supplied that result from a change in price. We can see this difference in Figure 3-7. The movement from point A to point B is a movement along the supply curve: the quantity supplied falls along $S_1$ due to a fall in price. Here, a fall in price from $250 to $200 leads to a fall in the quantity supplied from 9,000 to 8,000 tickets. But the quantity supplied can also fall when the price is unchanged if there is a decrease in supply—a leftward shift of the supply curve.

### Figure 3-6 A Decrease in Supply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price of ticket</th>
<th>Quantity of tickets supplied Before announcement</th>
<th>Quantity of tickets supplied After announcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$350$</td>
<td>$9,800$</td>
<td>$8,800$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$300$</td>
<td>$9,500$</td>
<td>$8,500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250$</td>
<td>$9,000$</td>
<td>$8,000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200$</td>
<td>$8,000$</td>
<td>$7,000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150$</td>
<td>$6,000$</td>
<td>$5,000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100$</td>
<td>$3,000$</td>
<td>$2,000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Announcement of Gretzky’s retirement generates a decrease in supply—a decrease in the quantity supplied at any given price. This event is represented by the two supply schedules—one showing supply before the announcement, the other showing supply after the announcement—and their corresponding supply curves. The decrease in supply shifts the supply curve to the left.
This is shown in Figure 3-7 by the leftward shift of the supply curve $S_1$ to $S_2$. Holding price constant at $250, the quantity supplied falls from 9,000 tickets at point A on $S_1$ to 8,000 at point C on $S_2$.

**Movement Along the Supply Curve Versus Shift of the Supply Curve**

The fall in quantity supplied when going from point A to point B reflects a movement along the supply curve: it is the result of a fall in the price of the good. The fall in quantity supplied when going from point A to point C reflects a shift of the supply curve: it is the result of a fall in the quantity supplied at any given price.

**Understanding Shifts of the Supply Curve**

Figure 3-8 illustrates the two basic ways in which supply curves can shift. When economists talk about an “increase in supply”, they mean a rightward shift of the supply curve: at any given price, people will supply a larger quantity of the good than before. This is shown in Figure 3-8 by the shift to the right of the original supply curve $S_1$ to $S_2$. And when economists talk about a “decrease in supply”, they mean a leftward shift of the supply curve: at any given price, people supply a smaller quantity of the good than before. This is represented in Figure 3-8 by the leftward shift of $S_1$ to $S_3$.

Economists believe that shifts of supply curves are mainly the result of four factors (though, as in the case of demand, there are other possible causes):

- Changes in input prices
- Changes in technology
- Changes in the number of suppliers
- Changes in expectations

**Changes in Input Prices** To produce output, you need inputs—for example, to make vanilla ice cream you need vanilla beans, cream, sugar, and so on. (Actually, you only need vanilla beans to make good vanilla ice cream; see Economics in Action on page XX.) An input is any good that is used to produce another good. Inputs, like output, have prices. And an increase in the price of an input makes the production of the final good more costly for those who produce and sell the good. So sellers are less willing to supply the good at any given price, and the supply curve shifts to the left. For example, newspaper publishers buy large quantities of newsprint (the paper on which newspapers are printed). When newsprint prices rose sharply in 1994–1995, the supply of newspapers fell: several newspapers went out of business, and a number of new
publishing ventures were cancelled. Similarly, a fall in the price of an input makes the production of the final good less costly for sellers. They are more willing to supply the good at any given price, and the supply curve shifts to the right.

Changes in Technology. When economists talk about “technology”, they don’t necessarily mean high technology—they mean all the ways that people can turn inputs into useful goods. The whole complex of activities that turns wheat from a Saskatchewan farm into toast on your breakfast table is technology in this sense. And when a better technology becomes available, reducing the cost of production—that is, letting a producer spend less on inputs, yet produce the same output—supply increases, and the supply curve shifts to the right. For example, an improved strain of corn that is more resistant to disease makes farmers willing to supply more corn at any given price.

Changes in the Number of Suppliers. Given input prices and technology, the more firms that produce a good, the greater is the supply of that good. As firms enter an industry, supply in that industry increases. As firms leave an industry, supply decreases. As we will see in Chapter 9, firms enter and leave an industry in response to profit signals. Profits encourage entry; losses encourage exit.

Changes in Expectations. Imagine that you had a ticket for the April 15th game but couldn’t go. You’d want to sell the ticket to a scalper. But if you heard a credible rumour about Gretzky’s imminent retirement, you would know that the ticket would soon skyrocket in value. So you would hold off on selling the ticket until his decision to retire was made public. This illustrates how expectations can alter supply: an expectation that the price of a good will be higher in the future causes supply to decrease today, but an expectation that the price of a good will be lower in the future causes supply to increase today.

**economics in action**

Down (and Up) on the Farm

Many countries have designed farm policies based on the belief—or maybe the hope—that producers won’t respond much to changes in the price of their product. But they have found out, to their dismay, that the price does indeed matter.
Advanced countries (including Canada) have historically tried to legislate farm prices up. (Chapter 4 describes how such price floors work in practice.) The point was to raise farmers’ incomes, not to increase production—but production nonetheless did go up. Until the nations of the European Union began guaranteeing farmers high prices in the 1960s, they had limited agricultural production and imported much of their food. Once price supports were in place, production expanded rapidly, and European farmers began growing more grains and producing more dairy products than consumers wanted to buy.

In poorer countries, especially in Africa, governments have often sought to keep farm prices down. The typical strategy was to require farmers to sell their produce to a “marketing board”, which then resold it to urban consumers or to overseas buyers. A famous example is Ghana, once the world’s main supplier of cocoa, the principal ingredient in chocolate. From 1965 until the 1980s, farmers were required to sell their cocoa beans to the government at prices that lagged steadily behind those chocolate manufacturers were paying elsewhere. The Ghanaian government hoped that cocoa production would be little affected by this policy and that it could profit by buying low and selling high. In fact, production fell sharply. By 1980, Ghana’s share of the world market was down to 12 percent, while other cocoa-exporting countries that did not follow the same policy—including its African neighbours—were steadily increasing their sales.

Today Europe is trying to reform its agricultural policy, and most developing countries have already abandoned their efforts to hold farm prices down. Governments seem finally to have learned that supply curves really do slope upwards, after all.

**Check Your Understanding 7-4**

1. Explain whether each of the following events represents (i) a shift of the supply curve or (ii) a movement along the supply curve.
   a. More homeowners put their houses up for sale during a real estate boom that causes house prices to rise.
   b. Many strawberry farmers open temporary roadside stands during harvest season, even though prices are usually low at that time.
   c. Immediately after the school year begins, fast-food chains must raise wages to attract workers.
   d. Many construction workers temporarily move to provinces that have suffered forest fire damage, lured by the hope of higher wages.
   e. Since new technologies have made it possible to build larger cruise ships (which are cheaper to run per passenger), Vancouver to Alaska cruise lines have offered more berths, at lower prices, than before.

Solutions appear at back of book.

---

**Supply, Demand, And Equilibrium**

We have now covered the first three key elements in the supply and demand model: the supply curve, the demand curve, and the set of factors that shift each curve. The next step is to put these elements together to show how they can be used to predict the actual price at which a good will be bought and sold.

What determines the price at which a good is bought and sold? In Chapter 1 we learned the general principle that markets move toward equilibrium, a situation in which no individual would be better off taking a different action. In the case of a competitive market, we can be more specific: a competitive market is in equilibrium when the price has moved to a level at which the quantity demanded of a good equals the quantity supplied of that good. At that price, no individual seller could make herself better off by offering to sell either more or less of the good and no individual buyer could make himself better off by offering to buy more or less of the good.
A competitive market is in equilibrium when price has moved to a level at which the quantity demanded of a good equals the quantity supplied of that good. The price at which this takes place is the equilibrium price, also referred to as the market-clearing price. The quantity of the good bought and sold at that price is the equilibrium quantity.

**Finding the Equilibrium Price and Quantity**

The easiest way to determine the equilibrium price and quantity in a market is by putting the supply curve and the demand curve on the same diagram. Since the supply curve shows the quantity supplied at any given price and the demand curve shows the quantity demanded at any given price, the price at which the two curves cross is the equilibrium price: the price at which quantity supplied equals quantity demanded.

Figure 3-9 combines the demand curve from Figure 3-1 and the supply curve from Figure 3-5. They intersect at point E, which is the equilibrium of this market; that is, $250 is the equilibrium price and 8,000 tickets is the equilibrium quantity.

**Market Equilibrium**

Market equilibrium occurs at point E, where the supply curve and the demand curve intersect. In equilibrium, the quantity demanded is equal to the quantity supplied. In this market, the equilibrium price is $250 and the equilibrium quantity is 8,000 tickets.
Let’s confirm that point E fits our definition of equilibrium. At a price of $250 per ticket, 8,000 ticket-holders are willing to resell their tickets and 8,000 people who do not have tickets are willing to buy. So at the price of $250 the quantity of tickets supplied equals the quantity demanded. Notice that at any other price the market would not clear: every willing buyer would not be able to find a willing seller, or vice versa. In other words, if the price were more than $250, the quantity supplied would exceed the quantity demanded. If the price were less than $250, the quantity demanded would exceed the quantity supplied.

The model of supply and demand, then, predicts that given the demand and supply curves shown in Figure 3-9, 8,000 tickets would change hands at a price of $250 each.

But how can we be sure that the market will arrive at the equilibrium price? We begin by answering three simpler questions:

1. Why do all sales and purchases in a market take place at the same price?
2. Why does the market price fall if it is above the equilibrium price?
3. Why does the market price rise if it is below the equilibrium price?

**Why Do All Sales and Purchases in a Market Take Place at the Same Price?**

There are some markets where the same good can sell for many different prices, depending on who is selling or who is buying. For example, have you ever bought a souvenir in a “tourist trap” and then seen the same item on sale somewhere else (perhaps even in the next store) for a lower price? Because tourists don’t know which shops offer the best deals and don’t have time for comparison shopping, sellers in tourist areas can charge different prices for the same good.

But in any market where the buyers and sellers have both been around for some time, sales and purchases tend to converge at a generally uniform price, so that we can safely talk about the market price. It’s easy to see why. Suppose a seller offered a potential buyer a price noticeably above what the buyer knew other people to be paying. The buyer would clearly be better off shopping elsewhere—unless the seller was prepared to offer a better deal. Conversely, a seller would not be willing to sell for significantly less than the amount he knew most buyers were paying; he would be better off waiting to get a more reasonable customer. So in any well-established, active market, all sellers receive and all buyers pay approximately the same price. This is what we call the market price.

**Why Does the Market Price Fall If It Is Above the Equilibrium Price?**

Suppose the supply and demand curves are as shown in Figure 3-9, but the market price is above the equilibrium level of $250—say, $350. This situation is illustrated in Figure 3-10. Why can’t the price stay there?

As the figure shows, at a price of $350 there would be more tickets available than hockey fans wanted to buy: 8,800 versus 5,000. The difference of 3,800 is the surplus—also known as the excess supply—of tickets at $350.

This surplus means that some would-be sellers are being frustrated: they cannot find anyone to buy what they want to sell. So the surplus offers an incentive for those 3,800 would-be sellers to offer a lower price in order to poach business from other sellers. It also offers an incentive for would-be buyers to seek a bargain by offering a lower price. Sellers who reject the lower price will fail to find buyers, and the result of this price cutting will be to push the prevailing price down until it reaches the equilibrium price. So, the price of a good will fall whenever there is a surplus—that is, whenever the price is above its equilibrium level.
**Why Does the Market Price Rise If It Is Below the Equilibrium Price?**

Now suppose the price is below its equilibrium level—say, at $150 per ticket, as shown in Figure 3-11. In this case, the quantity demanded (15,000 tickets) exceeds the quantity supplied (5,000 tickets), implying that there are 10,000 would-be buyers who cannot find tickets: there is a shortage, also known as an excess demand, of 10,000 tickets.
When there is a shortage, there are frustrated would-be buyers—people who want to purchase tickets but cannot find willing sellers at the current price. In this situation, either buyers will offer more than the prevailing price or sellers will realize that they can charge higher prices. Either way, the result is to drive up the prevailing price. This bidding up of prices happens whenever there are shortages—and there will be shortages whenever the price is below its equilibrium level. So the price will always rise if it is below the equilibrium level.

**Using Equilibrium to Describe Markets**

We have now seen that a market tends to have a single price; that the market price falls if it is above the equilibrium level but rises if it is below that level. So the market price always moves toward the equilibrium price, the price at which there is neither surplus nor shortage.

**economics in action**

**The Invisible Hand—Now You See It, Now You Don't**

In market equilibrium, something remarkable supposedly happens: everyone who wants to sell a good finds a willing buyer, and everyone who wants to buy that good finds a willing seller. It's a beautiful theory—but is it realistic? Can a market with nobody in charge really match up sellers and buyers?

As educators, we love graphic, visual examples. Prior to 1997, perhaps the best example would have been the trading floor of a stock exchange. Even better, had you lived close to one of Canada's five stock exchanges (Toronto, Montreal, Winnipeg, Calgary, and Vancouver) you could have visited and watched in amazement at how the traders—clutching bits of paper and screaming at each other from across the floor—accomplished their trades and established market-clearing prices. But alas, this is no more. Since 1997, all the traders sit in front of computer terminals. You can still visit, and the traders still succeed in establishing market-clearing prices, but now there is nothing much to see.

Similarly, should you visit your local fishing pier, you probably will not see an auction in progress. Certainly, there will be many boats unloading their catches; and certainly there will be many buyers—scores of them, mostly middlemen wanting to buy in large quantities. You won't see much (if any) haggling, since the market very quickly establishes the going price, which everyone knows. If a buyer offered a price below that, no one would sell to him; if a fisher demanded a price above that, no one would buy from him.

So, the tendency for markets to reach equilibrium isn't just theoretical speculation. Market forces are powerful—and nowadays, largely invisible.

**CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING** 3-3

1. In the following three situations, the market is initially in equilibrium. After each event described below, does a surplus or shortage exist at the original equilibrium price? What will happen to the equilibrium price as a result?
   a. Due to good weather, 1997 was a very good year for Prairie wheat growers, who produced a bumper crop of wheat.
   b. After a forest fire, hoteliers in Banff typically find that many vacationers cancel their vacations, leaving them with empty hotel rooms.
   c. After a very heavy snowfall in Ottawa, hardware store owners find that they quickly sell out of new snowblowers, so that many customers want to buy second-hand snowblowers instead. What will happen to the price of second-hand snowblowers?

Solutions appear at back of book.
Changes In Supply And Demand

Wayne Gretzky’s announcement that he was retiring may have come as a surprise, but the subsequent rise in the price of scalped tickets for his last Canadian game was no surprise at all. Suddenly the number of people who wanted to buy tickets at any given price increased—that is, there was an increase in demand. And at the same time, because those who already had tickets wanted to see Gretzky’s last game, they became less willing to sell them—that is, there was a decrease in supply.

In this case, there was an event that shifted both the supply and the demand curves. However, in many cases something happens that shifts only one of the curves. For example, a freeze in Florida reduces the supply of oranges, but doesn’t change the demand for oranges. A medical report suggesting that eggs are bad for your health reduces the demand for eggs, but does not affect the supply. That is, events often shift either the supply curve or the demand curve, but not both; it is therefore useful to ask what happens in each case.

We have seen that when a curve shifts, the equilibrium price and quantity change. We will now concentrate on exactly how the shift of a curve alters the equilibrium price and quantity.

What Happens When the Demand Curve Shifts

Coffee and tea are substitutes: if the price of tea rises, the demand for coffee will increase, and if the price of tea falls, the demand for coffee will decrease. But how does the price of tea affect the market for coffee?

Figure 3-12 shows the effect of a rise in the price of tea on the market for coffee. The rise in the price of tea increases the demand for coffee. Point E₁ shows the equilibrium corresponding to the original demand curve, with P₁ the equilibrium price and Q₁ the equilibrium quantity bought and sold.

An increase in demand is indicated by a rightward shift of the demand curve from D₁ to D₂. At the original market price P₁, this market is no longer in equilibrium: a shortage exists at the original price P₁, so both price and the quantity supplied rise, a movement along the supply curve. A new equilibrium is reached at E₂, with a higher equilibrium price P₂ and a higher equilibrium quantity Q₂. When demand for a good increases, the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity of the good both rise.
price of coffee rises and generates an increase in the quantity supplied, an upward movement along the supply curve. A new equilibrium is established at point $E_2$, with a higher equilibrium price $P_2$ and higher equilibrium quantity $Q_2$. This sequence of events reflects a general principle: When demand for a good increases, the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity of the good both rise.

And what would happen in the reverse case, a fall in the price of tea? A fall in the price of tea decreases the demand for coffee, shifting the demand curve to the left. At the original price, a surplus occurs as quantity supplied exceeds quantity demanded. The price falls and leads to a decrease in the quantity supplied, with a lower equilibrium price and a lower equilibrium quantity. This illustrates another general principle: When demand for a good decreases, the equilibrium price of the good and the equilibrium quantity both fall.

To summarize how a market responds to a change in demand: An increase in demand leads to a rise in both the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity. A decrease in demand leads to a fall in both the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity.

**What Happens When The Supply Curve Shifts**

In the real world, it is a bit easier to predict changes in supply than changes in demand. Physical factors that affect supply, like the availability of inputs, are easier to get a handle on than the fickle tastes that affect demand. Still, with supply as with demand, what we really know are the effects of shifts of the supply curve.

A spectacular example of a change in technology increasing supply occurred in the manufacture of semiconductors—the silicon chips that are the core of computers, video games, and many other devices. In the early 1970s, engineers learned how to use a process known as photolithography to put microscopic electronic components onto a silicon chip; subsequent progress in the technique has allowed ever more components to be put on each chip. Figure 3-13 shows the effect of such an innovation on the market for silicon chips. The demand curve does not change. The original equilibrium is at $E_1$, the point of intersection of the original supply curve $S_1$ and the demand curve, with equilibrium price $P_1$ and equilibrium quantity $Q_1$. As a result of the technological change, supply increases and $S_1$ shifts rightward to $S_2$. At the original price $P_1$, a surplus of chips now exists and the market is no longer in equilibrium. The surplus causes a fall in price and a rise in quantity demanded, a downward movement along the demand curve. The new equilibrium is at $E_2$, with an equilibrium price $P_2$ and an equilibrium quantity $Q_2$. In the new equilibrium $E_2$, the price is lower and the equilibrium quantity higher than before. This may be stated as a general principle: An increase in supply leads to a fall in the equilibrium price and a rise in the equilibrium quantity.

What happens to the market when supply decreases? A decrease in supply leads to a leftward shift of the supply curve. At the original price, a shortage now exists; as a result, the equilibrium price rises, and the quantity demanded falls. This describes the sequence of events in the newspaper market in 1994–1995, which we discussed earlier: a decrease in the supply of newsprint led to a rise in the price and the closure of many newspapers. We can formulate a general principle: A decrease in supply leads to a rise in the equilibrium price and a fall in the equilibrium quantity.

To summarize how a market responds to a change in supply: An increase in supply leads to a fall in the equilibrium price and a rise in the equilibrium quantity. A decrease in supply leads to a rise in the equilibrium price and a fall in the equilibrium quantity.

**Simultaneous Shifts In Supply And Demand**

Finally, it sometimes happens that events shift both the demand and supply curves. In fact, this chapter began with an example of such a simultaneous shift. Wayne Gretzky’s announcement that he was retiring increased the demand for scalped tickets, because more people wanted to see him play one last time; but it also decreased the supply because those who already had tickets became less willing to part with them.
Figure 3-13  
Equilibrium and Shifts of the Supply Curve

The original equilibrium in the market for silicon chips is at \( E_1 \), at the intersection of the demand curve and the original supply curve \( S_1 \). After a technological change increases the supply of silicon chips, the supply curve shifts rightward to \( S_2 \). A surplus exists at the original price \( P_1 \), so price falls and the quantity demanded rises, a movement along the demand curve. A new equilibrium is reached at \( E_2 \), with a lower equilibrium price \( P_2 \) and a higher equilibrium quantity \( Q_2 \). When supply of a good increases, the equilibrium price of the good falls and the equilibrium quantity rises. ➤ web...

Figure 3-14 illustrates what happened. In both panels we show an increase in demand—that is, a rightward shift of the demand curve, from \( D_1 \) to \( D_2 \). Notice that the rightward shift in panel (a) is relatively larger than the one in panel (b). Both panels also show a decrease in supply—that is, a leftward shift of the supply curve, from \( S_1 \) to \( S_2 \). Notice that the leftward shift in panel (b) is relatively larger than the one in panel (a).

Figure 3-14  
Simultaneous Shifts of the Demand and Supply Curves

In panel (a) there is a simultaneous rightward shift of the demand curve and leftward shift of the supply curve. Here the increase in demand is relatively larger than the decrease in supply, so the equilibrium price and equilibrium quantity both rise.

In panel (b) there is also a simultaneous rightward shift of the demand curve and leftward shift of the supply curve. Here the decrease in supply is relatively larger than the increase in demand, so the equilibrium price rises and the equilibrium quantity falls.
In both cases, the equilibrium price rises, from $P_1$ to $P_2$, as the equilibrium moves from $E_1$ to $E_2$. But what happens to the equilibrium quantity, the quantity of scalped tickets bought and sold? In panel (a) the increase in demand is large relative to the decrease in supply, and the equilibrium quantity rises as a result. In panel b. the decrease in supply is large relative to the increase in demand, and the equilibrium quantity falls as a result. That is, when demand increases and supply decreases, the actual quantity bought and sold can go either way, depending on how much the demand and supply curves have shifted.

In general, when supply and demand shift in opposite directions, we can’t predict what the ultimate effect will be on quantity bought and sold. What we can say is that a curve that shifts a disproportionately greater distance than the other curve will have a disproportionately greater effect on quantity bought and sold. That said, we can make the following prediction about the outcome when the supply and demand curves shift in opposite directions:

- When demand increases and supply decreases, the price rises but the change in the quantity is ambiguous.
- When demand decreases and supply increases, the price falls but the change in the quantity is ambiguous.

But suppose that the demand and supply curves shift in the same direction. Can we safely make any predictions about the changes in price and quantity? In this situation, the change in quantity bought and sold can be predicted but the change in price is ambiguous. The two possible outcomes when the supply and demand curves shift in the same direction (which you should check for yourself) are as follows:

- When both demand and supply increase, the quantity increases but the change in price is ambiguous.
- When both demand and supply decrease, the quantity decreases but the change in price is ambiguous.

**economics in action**

**Plain Vanilla Gets Fancy**

Vanilla doesn’t get any respect. It’s such a common flavouring that “plain vanilla” has become a generic term for ordinary, unembellished products. But between 2000 and 2003, plain vanilla got quite fancy—at least if you looked at the price. At the supermarket, the price of a small bottle of vanilla extract rose from about $5 to about $15. The wholesale price of vanilla beans rose 400 percent.

The cause of the price spike was bad weather—not here, but in the Indian Ocean. Most of the world’s vanilla comes from Madagascar, an island nation off Africa’s southeast coast. A huge cyclone struck there in 2000, and a combination of colder-than-normal weather and excessive rain impeded recovery.

The higher price of vanilla led to a fall in the quantity demanded: worldwide consumption of vanilla fell about 35 percent from 2000 to 2003. Consumers didn’t stop eating vanilla-flavoured products; instead, they switched (often without realizing it) to ice cream and other products flavoured with synthetic vanillin, which is a by-product of wood pulp and petroleum production.

Notice that there was never a shortage of vanilla: you could always find it in the store if you were willing to pay the price. That is, the vanilla market remained in equilibrium.
The big “issue” movie of the year 2000 was Traffic, a panoramic treatment of the drug trade. The movie was loosely based on the 1989 British TV miniseries Traffik. Despite the lapse of 11 years, the basic outlines of the situation—in which the drug trade flourishes despite laws that are supposed to prevent it—had not changed. Not only has the so-called “war on drugs” by law enforcement officials not succeeded in eliminating the trade in illegal drugs; according to most assessments, it has not even done much to reduce consumption.

The failure of the war on drugs has a historical precedent: during the era known as Prohibition, the sale and consumption of alcohol was illegal. In the United States this period lasted from 1919 to 1933, but it was much shorter in Canada. Quebec and British Columbia were the first to reject it, as early as 1920, while most of the remaining provinces were “wet” by 1927. This early rejection of prohibition in Canada created quite an export opportunity for Canadian breweries and distilleries! Indeed, legend has it that at least one famous Canadian family fortune began with old-fashioned smuggling and rum running to the States. Canadian suppliers, as well as U.S. domestic “bootleggers”, ensured that liquor remained widely available in the United States throughout the Prohibition era. In fact, by 1929 U.S. per capita consumption of alcohol was higher than it had been a decade earlier. As with illegal drugs today, the production and distribution of the banned substance became a large enterprise that flourished despite its illegality.

Why is it so hard to choke off markets in alcohol and drugs? Think of the war on drugs as a policy that shifts the supply curve but has not done much to shift the demand curve. Although it is illegal to use drugs such as cocaine, just as it was once illegal to drink alcohol, in practice the war on drugs focuses mainly on the suppliers. As a result, the cost of supplying drugs includes the risk of being caught and sent to jail (and, in the United States, perhaps even of being executed). This undoubtedly reduces the quantity of drugs supplied at any given price; in effect shifting the supply curve for drugs to the left. In Figure 3-15, this is shown as a shift in the supply curve from $S_1$ to $S_2$. If the war on drugs had no effect on the price of drugs, and the price remained at $P_1$, this leftward shift would reflect a reduction in the quantity of drugs supplied equal in magnitude to the leftward shift of supply.

But as we have seen, when the supply curve for a good shifts to the left, the effect is to raise the market price of that good. In Figure 3-15 the effect of the war on drugs would be to move the equilibrium from $E_1$ to $E_2$, and to raise the price of drugs from $P_1$ to $P_2$, a movement along the demand curve. Because the market price rises, the actual decline in the quantity of drugs supplied is less than the decline in the quantity that would have been supplied at the original price.

The crucial reason Prohibition was so ineffective was that as the market price of alcohol rose, consumers trimmed back only slightly on their consumption—yet the higher prices were enough to induce many potential suppliers to take the risk of jail time. So while Prohibition raised the price of alcohol, it did not do much to reduce consumption. Unfortunately, the same seems to be true of current drug policy. The policy raises the price of drugs to those who use them, but this does not do much to discourage consumption. Meanwhile, the higher prices are enough to induce suppliers to provide drugs despite the penalties.

What is the answer? Some argue that policy should be refocused on the demand side—more anti-drug education, more counselling, and so on. If these policies worked, they would shift demand to the left. Others argue that drugs, like alcohol, should be made legal but heavily taxed. While the debate goes on, so does the war on drugs.
1. In each of the following examples, determine (i) the market in question; (ii) whether a shift in demand or supply occurred, the direction of the shift, and what induced the shift; and (iii) the effect of the shift on the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity.
   a. As the price of gasoline fell in Canada during the 1990s, auto dealers found that more auto buyers chose large cars.
   b. As technological innovation has lowered the cost of recycling used paper, fresh paper made from recycled stock is used more frequently.
   c. As a local cable company offers cheaper “pay-per-view” films, local movie theatres have more unfilled seats.

2. Periodically, a computer chip maker like Intel introduces a new chip that is faster than the previous one. In response, demand for computers using the earlier chip decreases as customers put off purchases in anticipation of machines containing the new chip. Simultaneously, computer makers increase their production of computers containing the earlier chip in order to clear out their stocks of those chips.

   Draw two diagrams of the market for computers containing the earlier chip: (a) one in which the equilibrium quantity falls in response to these events and (b) one in which the equilibrium quantity rises. What happens to the equilibrium price in each diagram?

Competitive Markets—And Others

Early in this chapter, we defined a competitive market and explained that the supply and demand framework is a model of competitive markets. But we took a rain check on the question of why it matters whether or not a market is competitive. Now that we’ve seen how the supply and demand model works, we can offer some explanation.

To understand why competitive markets are different from other markets, compare the problems facing two individuals: a wheat farmer who must decide whether to grow more wheat, and the president of a giant aluminium company—say, Alcan—who must decide whether to produce more aluminium.

For the wheat farmer, the question is simply whether the extra wheat can be sold at a price high enough to justify the extra production cost. The farmer need not worry about whether producing more wheat will affect the price of the wheat he or she was already planning to grow. That’s because the wheat market is competitive. There are thousands of wheat farmers, and no one farmer’s decision will have much impact on the market price.

For the Alcan executive, things are not that simple, because the aluminium market is not competitive. There are only a few big players, including Alcan, and each of them is well aware that its actions do have a noticeable impact on the market price. This adds a whole new level of complexity to the decisions producers have to make. Alcan can’t decide whether or not to produce more aluminium just by asking whether the additional product will sell for more than it costs to make. The company also has to ask whether producing more aluminium will drive down the market price and reduce its profit.

When a market is competitive, individuals can base decisions on less complicated analyses than those used in a non-competitive market. This in turn means that it’s easier for economists to build a model of a competitive market than of a non-competitive market.

Don’t take this to mean that economic analysis has nothing to say about non-competitive markets. On the contrary, economists can offer some very important insights into how other kinds of markets work. But those insights require other models. In the next chapter, we will focus on what we can learn about competitive markets from the very useful model we have just developed: supply and demand.
1. The supply and demand model illustrates how a competitive market, one with many buyers and sellers, works.

2. The demand schedule shows the quantity demanded at each price and is represented graphically by a demand curve. The law of demand says that demand curves slope downward.

3. A movement along the demand curve occurs when price changes and causes a change in quantity demanded. When economists talk of increasing or decreasing demand, they mean shifts of the demand curve—a change in the quantity demanded at any given price. An increase in demand causes a rightward shift of the demand curve. A decrease in demand causes a leftward shift.

4. There are five main factors that shift the demand curve:
   - A change in the prices of related goods, such as substitutes or complements
   - A change in income: when income rises, the demand for normal goods increases and the demand for inferior goods decreases.
   - A change in tastes
   - A change in population
   - A change in expectations

5. The supply schedule shows the quantity supplied at each price and is represented graphically by a supply curve. Supply curves usually slope upward.

6. A movement along the supply curve occurs when price changes and causes a change in the quantity supplied. When economists talk of increasing or decreasing supply, they mean shifts of the supply curve—a change in the quantity supplied at any given price. An increase in supply causes a rightward shift of the supply curve. A decrease in supply causes a leftward shift.

7. There are four main factors that shift the supply curve:
   - A change in input prices
   - A change in technology
   - A change in the number of suppliers
   - A change in expectations

8. The supply and demand model is based on the principle that the price in a market moves to its equilibrium price or market-clearing price, the price at which the quantity demanded is equal to the quantity supplied. This quantity is called the equilibrium quantity. When the price is above its market-clearing level, there is a surplus that pushes the price down. When the price is below its market-clearing level, there is a shortage that pushes the price up.

9. An increase in demand increases both the equilibrium price and the equilibrium quantity; a decrease in demand has the opposite effect. An increase in supply reduces the equilibrium price and increases the equilibrium quantity; a decrease in supply has the opposite effect.

10. Shifts of the demand curve and the supply curve can happen simultaneously. When they shift in opposite directions, the change in price is predictable but the change in quantity is not. When they shift in the same direction, the change in quantity is predictable but the change in price is not. In general, the curve that shifts the greater distance has a greater effect on the changes in price and quantity.
PART 2  SUPPLY AND DEMAND

PROBLEMS

1. A survey indicated that chocolate ice cream is Canada’s favourite ice-cream flavour. For each of the following, indicate the possible effects on demand and/or supply and the equilibrium price and quantity of chocolate ice cream.
   a. A severe drought causes dairy farmers to reduce the number of milk-producing cattle in their stocks by a third. These dairy farmers supply cream that is used to make chocolate ice cream.
   b. A new report by the Canadian Medical Association reveals that chocolate does, in fact, have significant health benefits.
   c. The discovery of cheaper synthetic vanilla flavouring lowers the price of vanilla ice cream.
   d. New technology for mixing and freezing ice cream lowers manufacturers’ cost of producing chocolate ice cream.

2. In a supply and demand diagram, draw the shift in demand for hamburgers in your home town due to the following events. In each case, show the effect on equilibrium price and quantity:
   a. The price of tacos increases.
   b. All hamburger sellers raise the price of their french fries.
   c. Income falls in town. Assume that hamburgers are a normal good for most people.
   d. Income falls in town. Assume that hamburgers are an inferior good for most people.
   e. Hot dog stands cut the price of hot dogs.

3. The market for many goods changes in predictable ways according to the time of year, in response to things such as holidays, vacation times, seasonal changes in production, and so on. Using supply and demand, explain the change in price in each of the following cases. Note that supply and demand may shift simultaneously in these examples.
   a. Lobster prices usually fall during the summer peak harvest season, despite the fact that people like to consume lobster during the summer months more than during any other time of year.
   b. The price of a Christmas tree is lower after Christmas than before, despite the fact that tree growers harvest and supply fewer trees for sale after Christmas than before.
   c. The price of a round-trip air ticket to Paris on Air France falls by over $200 after the end of school vacation in September. This happens despite the fact that generally worsening weather increases the cost of operating flights to Paris, and Air France therefore reduces the number of flights to Paris at any given price.

4. Show in a graph the effect on the demand curve, the supply curve, the equilibrium price, and the equilibrium quantity for each of the following events.
   a. The market for newspapers in your town.
      Case 1: The salaries of journalists go up.
      Case 2: There is a big news event in your town that is reported in the newspapers.
   b. The market for Edmonton Eskimos’ football cotton T-shirts.
      Case 1: The Eskimos win the Grey Cup.
      Case 2: The price of cotton increases.
   c. The market for bagels.
      Case 1: People realize how fattening bagels are.
      Case 2: People have less time to make themselves a cooked breakfast.
   d. The market for the Krugman, Wells, and Myatt Microeconomics textbook.
      Case 1: Your professor makes it required reading for all of his or her students.
      Case 2: Printing costs for textbooks are lowered by the use of synthetic paper.

5. In a recent study, the supply schedule of lobsters from the Atlantic provinces was determined to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price of lobster (per pound)</th>
<th>Quantity of lobster supplied (pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppose these lobsters can only be sold in Canada. The Canadian demand schedule for Atlantic lobsters is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price of lobster (per pound)</th>
<th>Quantity of lobster demanded (pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Draw the demand curve and the supply curve of Atlantic lobsters. What is the equilibrium price and quantity of lobsters?

Suppose now Atlantic lobsters can be sold in France. The French demand schedule for Atlantic lobsters is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price of lobster (per pound)</th>
<th>Quantity of lobster demanded (pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. What is the demand schedule for Atlantic lobsters now that French consumers can also buy them? Draw a supply and demand diagram that illustrates the new equilibrium price and quantity of lobsters. What will happen to the
price at which Atlantic lobster fishermen can sell lobster? What will happen to the price paid by Canadian consumers of Atlantic lobster? What will happen to the quantity of Atlantic lobster consumed by Canadians?

6. Find the flaws in reasoning in the following statements, paying particular attention to the distinction between shifts of and movements along the supply and demand curves. Draw a diagram to illustrate what actually happens in each situation.
   
a. “A technological innovation that lowers the cost of producing a good might seem at first to result in a reduction in the price of the good to consumers. But a fall in price will increase demand for the good, and higher demand will send the price up again. It is not certain, therefore, that an innovation will really reduce price in the end.”
   
b. “A study shows that eating a clove of garlic a day can help prevent heart disease, causing many consumers to demand more garlic. This increase in demand results in an increase in the price of garlic. Consumers, seeing that the price of garlic has increased, reduce their demand for garlic. This causes the demand for garlic to decrease and the price of garlic to fall. Therefore, the ultimate effect of the study on the price of garlic is uncertain.”

7. Some points on a linear demand curve for a normal good are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price ($)</th>
<th>Quantity demanded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you think that the increase in quantity demanded (from 90 to 110 in the table) when price decreases (from 21 to 19) is due to a rise in consumers’ income? Explain clearly (and briefly) why or why not.

8. Assume that Devon Spank is a star hitter for the Toronto Blue Jays baseball team. He is close to breaking the major league record for home runs hit during one season, and it is widely anticipated that in the next game he will break that record. As a result, tickets for the team’s next game have been a hot commodity. But today it is announced that, due to a knee injury, he will not in fact play in the team’s next game. Assume that this star sold out arenas around the country at an average ticket price of $75.

   a. How would you evaluate the arguments that ticket prices are too high?
   
b. Suppose that due to this star’s protests, ticket prices were lowered to $50. In what sense is this price “too low”? Draw a diagram using supply and demand curves to support your argument.
   
c. Suppose Pearl Jam really wanted to bring down ticket prices. Since the band controls the supply of its services, what do you recommend they do? Explain using a supply and demand diagram.
   
d. Suppose the band’s next CD was a total dud. Do you think they would still have to worry about ticket prices being “too high”? Why or why not? Draw a supply and demand diagram to support your argument.
   
e. Suppose the group announced this was going to be their last tour. What effect would this likely have on the demand and price of tickets? Illustrate with a supply and demand diagram.

9. In *Rolling Stone* magazine, several rock stars, including Pearl Jam, and fans were bemoaning the high price of concert tickets. One superstar argued, “It just isn’t worth $75 to see me play. No one should have to pay that much to go to a concert.” Assume this star sold out arenas around the country at an average ticket price of $75.

   a. Plot the demand and supply curves using the above schedules. Indicate on your graph the equilibrium price and quantity.
   
b. Suppose the tires used by Ford were found to be defective. What would you expect would happen in the market for Ford trucks? Show this on your graph.
   
c. Suppose further that the Canadian Department of Transportation imposes restrictions on Ford that cause the car manufacturer to reduce supply by one-third, or 33%, at any given price. Calculate and plot the new supply schedule and indicate the new equilibrium price and quantity on your graph.

10. The following table gives the quarterly Canadian demand and supply schedules for Ford trucks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price ($)</th>
<th>Quantity of trucks demanded (thousands)</th>
<th>Quantity of trucks supplied (thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   a. What will happen to the price paid by Canadian consumers of Atlantic lobster? What will happen to the quantity of Atlantic lobster consumed by Canadians?
   
b. How would you evaluate the arguments that ticket prices are too high?
   
c. Suppose that due to this star’s protests, ticket prices were lowered to $50. In what sense is this price “too low”? Draw a diagram using supply and demand curves to support your argument.
   
d. Suppose Pearl Jam really wanted to bring down ticket prices. Since the band controls the supply of its services, what do you recommend they do? Explain using a supply and demand diagram.
   
e. Suppose the band’s next CD was a total dud. Do you think they would still have to worry about ticket prices being “too high”? Why or why not? Draw a supply and demand diagram to support your argument.
   
f. Suppose the group announced this was going to be their last tour. What effect would this likely have on the demand and price of tickets? Illustrate with a supply and demand diagram.

11. After several years of decline, the market for handmade acoustic guitars is making a comeback. These guitars are usually made in small workshops employing relatively few highly skilled luthiers. Assess the impact on the equilibrium price and quantity of handmade acoustic guitars as a result of each of the following events. In your answers, indicate which curves, shifts, and in which direction.
PART 2
SUPPLY AND DEMAND

a. Environmentalists succeed in having the use of Brazilian rosewood banned in Canada, forcing luthiers to seek out alternative, more costly woods.
b. A foreign producer re-engineers the guitar-making process and floods the market with similar guitars.
c. Music using handmade acoustic guitars makes a comeback as audiences tire of heavy metal and grunge music.
d. Canada goes into a deep recession in which the income of the average Canadian falls sharply.

12. Demand Twisters: Try to sketch and explain the demand relationship in each of the following situations.
a. I would never buy a Britney Spears CD! You couldn’t even give me one for nothing.
b. I generally buy a bit more coffee as the price falls. But once the price falls to $4/kilo, I will buy out the entire stock of the supermarket.
c. I spend more on orange juice even as the price rises. (Does this mean that I must be violating the law of demand?)
d. Due to a tuition rise, most students find themselves with less disposable income. Almost all of them eat more frequently at the university cafeteria and less often at restaurants, even though prices at the cafeteria have risen too. (This one requires that you draw both demand and supply curves for dormitory cafeteria meals.)

13. Will Shakespeare is a struggling playwright in sixteenth-century London. As the price he receives for writing a play increases, he is willing to write more plays. In the following questions, use a diagram to illustrate how each event affects the equilibrium price and quantity in the market for Shakespeare’s plays.
a. The playwright Christopher Marlowe, Shakespeare’s chief rival, is killed in a bar brawl.
b. The bubonic plague, a deadly infectious disease, breaks out in London.
c. In order to celebrate the Royal Navy’s victory over the Spanish Armada, Queen Elizabeth commissions several weeks of festivities, including new plays.

14. The small town of Middling experiences a sudden doubling of the birth rate. After three years, the birth rate returns to normal. Use a diagram to illustrate the effect of these events on the following:
a. The market for an hour of babysitting services in Middling today.
b. The market for an hour of babysitting services 14 years into the future after the birth rate has returned to normal, by which time children born today are old enough to work as babysitters.
c. The market for an hour of babysitting services in Middling 30 years into the future, when children born today are likely to be having children of their own.

15. In the following questions, use a diagram to illustrate how each event affects the market equilibrium price and quantity of pizza.
a. The price of mozzarella cheese rises.
b. The health hazards of hamburgers are demonstrated in a widely advertised campaign.
c. The price of tomato sauce falls.
d. The incomes of consumers rise and pizzas are inferior goods.
e. Consumers expect the price of pizzas to fall next week.

16. Draw the appropriate curve in each of the following cases. Is it like or unlike the curves you have seen so far? Explain.
a. The demand for cardiac bypass surgery, given that the government pays the full cost for any patient.
b. The demand for elective cosmetic plastic surgery, where the patient pays the full cost of the surgery.
c. The weekly supply of locally grown tomatoes during the month of August at your local market.

to web... To continue your study and review of concepts in this chapter, please visit the Krugman/Wells website for quizzes, animated graph tutorials, web links to helpful resources, and more.

www.worthpublishers.com/krugmanwells